

Light WIMP with Scalar Mediator Implications from Higgs Precision


Po-Yan Tseng (Kavli IPMU)

Collaborators: Shigeki Matsumoto (Kavli IPMU) Yue-Lin Sming Tsai (Academia Sinica, Taiwan)

ArXiv: 1811.03292


5th, Dark Matter, Dark Energy, and Matter-antimatter Asymmetry, 29th Dec. 2018

 Dark matter relic abundance is about 25% of our Universe.




Planck Collaboration

- Thermally produced DM: Freeze-out mechanism.
- Weakly interacting DM(WIMP), gives the correct DM relic abundance.



- Thermally produced DM: Freeze-out mechanism.
- Weakly interacting DM(WIMP), gives the correct DM relic abundance.



- Thermally produced DM: Freeze-out mechanism.
- Weakly interacting massive particle(WIMP), gives the correct DM relic abundance $\Omega_X = 25\%$.

$$\Omega_X \propto \frac{1}{\langle \sigma v \rangle} \sim \frac{m_X^2}{g_X^4}$$

J.L. Feng, J. Kumar: 0803.4196

• $g_{\text{weak}} \simeq 0.65$ and $m_{\text{weak}} \simeq \mathcal{O}(100) \text{ GeV} - 1 \text{ TeV}$, weak interaction. We called WIMP DM.

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle \simeq 3 \times 10^{-26} \mathrm{cm}^3/\mathrm{sec}$$

- Thermally produced DM: Freeze-out mechanism.
- Weakly interacting massive particle(WIMP), gives the correct DM relic abundance $\Omega_X = 25\%$.

$$\Omega_X \propto \frac{1}{\langle \sigma v \rangle} \sim \frac{m_X^2}{g_X^4}$$


J.L. Feng, J. Kumar: 0803.4196

• $g_{\text{weak}} \simeq 0.65$ and $m_{\text{weak}} \simeq \mathcal{O}(100) \text{ GeV} - 1 \text{ TeV}$, weak interaction. We called WIMP DM.


$$10^{-3} \lesssim g_X \lesssim 3$$

10 MeV $\lesssim m_X \lesssim 10$ TeV

- Supersymmetry theory predict the mass of WIMP around O(100) GeV to 1 TeV.
- It is constrained from direct detection searches.







P. Cushman et. al.:1310.8327v2

5th DDMA,

- Sub-GeV thermal produced WIMP. Light WIMP.
- Lee-Weinberg limit. Require thermal DM mass larger than GeV.
 B. W. Lee, S. Weinberg: PRL. 39(1977), 165.
- Constraint from Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB):

 $\langle \sigma v
angle_{
m CMB}/m_{\chi} \lesssim 3 imes 10^{-28} {
m cm}^3 {
m s}^{-1} \, {
m GeV}^{-1}$

 Cannot give correct DM relic density, if DM lighter than 10 GeV.

- Sub-GeV thermal produced WIMP. Light WIMP.
- Lee-Weinberg limit. Require thermal DM mass larger than GeV.
 B. W. Lee, S. Weinberg: PRL. 39(1977), 165.
- Constraint from Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB):

 $\langle \sigma v
angle_{
m CMB}/m_{\chi} \lesssim 3 imes 10^{-28} {
m cm}^3 {
m s}^{-1} \, {
m GeV}^{-1}$


 Cannot give correct DM relic density, if DM lighter than 10 GeV.

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle \simeq 3 \times 10^{-26} \mathrm{cm}^3/\mathrm{sec}$$


p.6

5th DDMA,


- Relic abundance and DM annihilation.
- → DM+DM \rightarrow SM+SM:




- Relic abundance and DM annihilation.
- DM+DM → mediator+mediator & mediator → SM+SM




- Relic abundance and DM annihilation.
- DM+DM → mediator+mediator & mediator → SM+SM




- Relic abundance and DM annihilation.
- DM+DM → mediator+mediator & mediator → SM+SM



- Relic abundance and DM annihilation.
- DM+DM → mediator+mediator & mediator → SM+SM



- Thermal equilibrium.
- WIMP \leftrightarrow mediator \leftrightarrow SM.




S.Matsumoto, Y.L Sming Tsai, P.Y. Tseng




Thermal equilibrium.

• WIMP \leftrightarrow mediator \leftrightarrow SM.



S.Matsumoto, Y.L Sming Tsai, P.Y. Tseng



S.Matsumoto, Y.L Sming Tsai, P.Y. Tseng

- Minimal Model. Gauge invariant and renormalizability.
- Majorana DM and a scalar mediator:

$$\mathscr{L} = \mathscr{L}_{\rm SM} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\chi}(i\partial - m_{\chi})\chi + \frac{1}{2}(\partial \Phi)^2 - \frac{c_s}{2}\Phi\bar{\chi}\chi - \frac{c_p}{2}\Phi\bar{\chi}i\gamma_5\chi - V(\Phi, H),$$

$$\begin{split} V_{H}(H) &= \mu_{H}^{2} H^{\dagger} H + \frac{\lambda_{H}}{2} (H^{\dagger} H)^{2}, \\ V_{\Phi}(\Phi) &= \mu_{1}^{3} \Phi + \frac{\mu_{\Phi}^{2}}{2} \Phi^{2} + \frac{\mu_{3}}{3!} \Phi^{3} + \frac{\lambda_{\Phi}}{4!} \Phi^{4}, \\ V_{\Phi H}(\Phi, H) &= A_{\Phi H} \Phi H^{\dagger} H + \frac{\lambda_{\Phi H}}{2} \Phi^{2} H^{\dagger} H. \end{split}$$

5th DDMA,

- Minimal Model. Gauge invariant and renormalizability.
- Majorana DM and a scalar mediator:

$$\mathscr{L} = \mathscr{L}_{\rm SM} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\chi}(i\partial - m_{\chi})\chi + \frac{1}{2}(\partial \Phi)^2 + \frac{c_s}{2}\Phi\bar{\chi}\chi - \frac{c_p}{2}\Phi\bar{\chi}i\gamma_5\chi - V(\Phi, H),$$

$$\begin{split} V_{H}(H) &= \mu_{H}^{2}H^{\dagger}H + \frac{\lambda_{H}}{2}(H^{\dagger}H)^{2}, \\ V_{\Phi}(\Phi) &= \mu_{1}^{3}\Phi + \frac{\mu_{\Phi}^{2}}{2}\Phi^{2} + \frac{\mu_{3}}{3!}\Phi^{3} + \frac{\lambda_{\Phi}}{4!}\Phi^{4}, \\ V_{\Phi H}(\Phi, H) &= A_{\Phi H}\Phi H^{\dagger}H + \frac{\lambda_{\Phi H}}{2}\Phi^{2}H^{\dagger}H. \end{split}$$

5th DDMA,


- Minimal Model. Gauge invariant and renormalizability.
- Majorana DM and a scalar mediator:

$$\mathscr{L} = \mathscr{L}_{\rm SM} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\chi}(i\partial - m_{\chi})\chi + \frac{1}{2}(\partial \Phi)^2 - \frac{c_s}{2}\Phi\bar{\chi}\chi - \frac{c_p}{2}\Phi\bar{\chi}i\gamma_5\chi - V(\Phi, H),$$

$$\begin{split} V_{H}(H) &= \mu_{H}^{2}H^{\dagger}H + \frac{\lambda_{H}}{2}(H^{\dagger}H)^{2}, \\ V_{\Phi}(\Phi) &= \mu_{1}^{3}\Phi + \frac{\mu_{\Phi}^{2}}{2}\Phi^{2} + \frac{\mu_{3}}{3!}\Phi^{3} + \frac{\lambda_{\Phi}}{4!}\Phi^{4}, \\ V_{\Phi H}(\Phi, H) &= A_{\Phi H}\Phi H^{\dagger}H + \frac{\lambda_{\Phi H}}{2}\Phi^{2}H^{\dagger}H. \end{split}$$

5th DDMA,

 The A_{ΦH}ΦH[†]H allowed the mixing between Higgs doublet and scalar singlet




- Minimal Model. Gauge invariant and renormalizability.
- Majorana DM and a scalar mediator:

$$\mathscr{L} = \mathscr{L}_{\rm SM} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\chi}(i\partial - m_{\chi})\chi + \frac{1}{2}(\partial \Phi)^2 - \frac{c_s}{2}\Phi\bar{\chi}\chi - \frac{c_p}{2}\Phi\bar{\chi}i\gamma_5\chi - V(\Phi, H),$$


$$\begin{split} V_{H}(H) &= \mu_{H}^{2} H^{\dagger} H + \frac{\lambda_{H}}{2} (H^{\dagger} H)^{2}, \\ V_{\Phi}(\Phi) &= \mu_{1}^{3} \Phi + \frac{\mu_{\Phi}^{2}}{2} \Phi^{2} + \frac{\mu_{3}}{3!} \Phi^{3} + \frac{\lambda_{\Phi}}{4!} \Phi^{4}, \\ V_{\Phi H}(\Phi, H) &= A_{\Phi H} \Phi H^{\dagger} H + \frac{\lambda_{\Phi H}}{2} \Phi^{2} H^{\dagger} H. \end{split}$$

5th DDMA,



• 7 parameters: $m_{\chi}, m_{\phi}, c_s, \sin \theta, \mu_{\phi}^2, \mu_3, \lambda_{\Phi}$


• 7 parameters: $m_{\chi}, m_{\phi}, c_s, \sin \theta, \mu_{\phi}^2, \mu_3, \lambda_{\Phi}$



• 7 parameters:
$$m_{\chi}, m_{\phi}, c_s, \sin \theta, \mu_{\phi}^2, \mu_3, \lambda_{\Phi}$$




• 7 parameters: $m_{\chi}, m_{\phi}, c_s, \sin \theta, \mu_{\phi}^2, \mu_3, \lambda_{\Phi}$



- Apriori constraints: vacuum stability.
- DM relic density & Kinematic equilibrium condition.
- Cosmology constraints: BBN, Neff, CMB.
- Direct dark matter detection.
- Collider constraints: Kaon, B-meson, Higgs decay.

Kinematic equilibrium condition+Kaon decay:


 $m_{\chi} \ge 10 \text{ MeV}$



5th DDMA,

Kinematic equilibrium condition+Kaon decay:


 $m_{\chi} \ge 10 \text{ MeV}$



5th DDMA,


 Cosmology constraints: BBN, Neff, CMB. Assume mediator is thermal equilibrium with SM.

 $m_{\phi} \ge 6 \,\,\mathrm{MeV}$




 Cosmology constraints: BBN, Neff, CMB. Assume mediator is thermal equilibrium with SM.

 $m_{\phi} \ge 6 \,\,\mathrm{MeV}$



 Cosmology constraints: BBN, Neff, CMB. Assume mediator is thermal equilibrium with SM.

 $m_{\phi} \ge 6 \,\,\mathrm{MeV}$



 Cosmology constraints: BBN, Neff, CMB. Assume mediator is thermal equilibrium with SM.

 $m_{\phi} \ge 6 \,\,\mathrm{MeV}$


$$\begin{array}{c} \nu - \gamma \text{ decouple} & p, n - e \text{ decouple} & \gamma - e \text{ decouple} \\ 2m_{\pi} = 280 \text{ MeV} & 4 \text{ MeV} & T_D \simeq 3 \text{ MeV} & T_{\text{BBN}} \simeq 0.1 \text{ MeV} & T_{\text{CMB}} \simeq 4 \text{ eV} \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \phi \text{ from relativistic to non-relativistic, inject} \\ \text{entropy to } \gamma \Rightarrow \text{ change the } \left(T_{\text{D}}^{(\nu)}/T_{\text{D}}^{(\gamma)}\right) \text{ and} \\ \left(T_{\text{CMB}}^{(\nu)}/T_{\text{CMB}}^{(\gamma)}\right) \end{array}$$

5th DDMA,

Results


Under present constraints:



5th DDMA,

Results


• Under future constraints:




5th DDMA,

Light WIMP with scalar mediator

• 7 parameters: $m_{\chi}, m_{\phi}, c_s, \sin \theta, \mu_{\phi}^2, \mu_3, \lambda_{\Phi}$




From the allowed parameter space:



5th DDMA,

From the allowed parameter space:




- Interesting signal from 125 GeV Higgs invisible decay at LHC.
- Because of the coupling $C_{\phi\phi h}$ the branching ratio of
 - $h \to \phi \phi$ can be large.
- Current LHC limit is: $Br(h \to invisible) \lesssim 20\%$
- High luminosity LHC limit will be: $Br(h \rightarrow invisible) \lesssim 5\%$


Summary

- We demonstrated the light WIMP DM can be Sub-GeV with the help of light scalar mediator to maintain thermal equilibrium and give correct relic density.
- We wrote down a minimal model, which is gauge invariant and renormalizable.
- Many constraints are included.
- The 125 GeV Higgs decays into pair of long-live mediators as invisible decay. Can be searched at LHC.

Thank You !

Back Up





wikipedia.org Extended rotation curve of M33









Hubble Space Telescope

astro-ph/0504097

 Dark matter relic abundance is about 25% of our Universe.




Planck Collaboration



Wayne Hu: Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics U. of Chicago


NCKU Colloquium,

 Dark matter relic abundance is about 25% of our Universe.




- Properties of dark matter:
- I). Charge neutral.
- II). Stable or long-live.
- III). Gravity force.
- IV). Non-relativistic.
- V). 25% of our universe.

- Properties of dark matter:
- I). Charge neutral.
- II). Stable or long-live.
- III). Gravity force.
- IV). Non-relativistic.
- V). 25% of our universe.




Wikipedia

- Properties of dark matter:
- I). Charge neutral.
- II). Stable or long-live.
- III). Gravity force.
- IV). Non-relativistic.
- V). 25% of our universe.



Wikipedia


- Properties of dark matter: Beyond SM particle.
- I). Charge neutral.
- II). Stable or long-live.
- III). Gravity force.
- IV). Non-relativistic.
- V). 25% of our universe.




Wikipedia

NCKU Colloquium,


- How to produced DM?
- Thermally produced: Freeze-out mechanism.






Wikipedia

- How to produced DM?
- Thermally produced: Freeze-out mechanism.





Wikipedia

- The mass of WIMP from O(1) MeV to O(100) TeV.
- O(100) TeV upper limit from the perturbation
- O(1) MeV lower limit from non-relativistic DM. Lighter DM freeze-out in relativistic.

J.L. Feng, J. Kumar: 0803.4196

$$\Omega_X \propto \frac{1}{\langle \sigma v \rangle} \sim \frac{m_X^2}{g_X^4}$$

$$10^{-3} \lesssim g_X \lesssim 3$$


10 MeV $\lesssim m_X \lesssim 10$ TeV

- The mass of WIMP from O(1) MeV to O(100) TeV.
- O(100) TeV upper limit from the perturbative.
- O(1) MeV lower limit from non-relativistic DM. Lighter DM freeze-out in relativistic.

J.L. Feng, J. Kumar: 0803.4196


$$\Omega_X \propto \frac{1}{\langle \sigma v \rangle} \sim \frac{m_X^2}{g_X^4}$$
 $10^{-3} \lesssim g_X \lesssim 3$
10 MeV $\lesssim m_X \lesssim 10$ TeV

- Supersymmetry theory predict the mass of WIMP around O(100) GeV to 1 TeV.
- It is constrained from direct detection searches.




Constraints

Relic abundance and thermal equilibrium.




Light WIMP with scalar mediator


 The A_{ΦH}ΦH[†]H allowed the mixing between Higgs doublet and scalar singlet




Under present constraints:



NCKU Colloquium,




NCKU Colloquium,







NCKU Colloquium,




NCKU Colloquium,




NCKU Colloquium,



p.25




Under present constraints:




P.Y. Tseng,

Under future constraints:




NCKU Colloquium,



S.Matsumoto, Y.L Sming Tsai, P.Y. Tseng

NCKU Colloquium,


Constraints



ALCW2018,

Constraints

WIMP \leftrightarrow mediator \leftrightarrow SM.



$$(m_{\chi}, c_s, m_{\phi}, \sin \theta, \mu_3) =$$


(200MeV, 0.022, 100MeV, 10⁻⁶, 10MeV)

(200MeV, 0.1, 50MeV, 10⁻³, 10MeV)

ALCW2018,

Higgs Production at LHC

The production mechanism: ggF, VBF, Vh, tth.




K.Cheung, J.S.Lee, P.Y.Tseng: 1302.3794

NCKU Colloquium,

Constraints

When the mediator is lighter than 10 GeV. The LEP constraint is stronger than that from ILC, because of lower center mass energy.
 Y. Wang, J. List, M. Berggren: 1801.08164



ILC

• From the Higgs-mediator-mediator coupling, in small mixing angle limit, s.t. decay length is longer than ~30m. For example, $m_{\phi} = 20 \text{ GeV}, \sin \theta < 10^{-7}$

$$C_{\phi\phi h} \simeq \frac{2(m_{\phi}^2 - \mu_{\Phi}^2)}{v_H}$$
$$\Gamma(h \to \phi\phi) \simeq \frac{C_{\phi\phi h}^2}{32\pi m_h}$$

$$\Delta BR(h_{125} \rightarrow \text{invisible}) \lesssim 0.44\%$$

$$\Rightarrow C_{\phi\phi h} < 0.7 \text{ GeV}, \text{ or } |m_{\phi}^2 - \mu_{\Phi}^2| < 90 \text{ GeV}^2$$

Light WIMP with scalar mediator

Higgs precision measurement at LHC:

 $\Delta BR(h_{125} \to ZZ) \lesssim 10\% \Rightarrow |\sin \theta| \lesssim 0.32$


 At ILC (250GeV), improve the Higgs precision measurement:

$$\Delta BR(h_{125} \to ZZ) \lesssim 0.5\% \Rightarrow |\sin \theta| \lesssim 0.07$$

H. Baer et. al., ILC: 1306.6352

Light WIMP with scalar mediator

Mediator width and branching ratio:




S.Matsumoto, Y.L Sming Tsai, P.Y. Tseng

NCKU Colloquium,

P.Y. Tseng,

Current experimental constraints for light WIMP.
 Lower mass limit for WIMP 9MeV.




NCKU Colloquium,

P.Y. Tseng,


p.1

 Current experimental constraints for light WIMP. Lower mass limit for WIMP 9MeV.

p.1




 Current experimental constraints for light mediator. Lower limit for mediator mass 1 MeV.



S.Matsumoto, Y.L Sming Tsai, P.Y. Tseng

P.Y. Tseng,


 Current experimental constraints for light mediator



NCKU Colloquium,

p.1


 Current experimental constraints for light mediator





NCKU Colloquium,

S.Matsumoto, Y.L Sming Tsai, P.Y. Tseng P.Y. Tseng, p.1

Mediator produced at ILC








From the Higgs-mediator-mediator coupling

$$C_{\phi\phi h} \simeq \frac{2(m_{\phi}^2 - \mu_{\Phi}^2)}{v_H}$$
$$\Gamma(h \to \phi\phi) \simeq \frac{C_{\phi\phi h}^2}{32\pi m_h}$$



- If the mixing angle with Higgs is very small, mediator becomes long-live particle.
- Invisible Higgs decay at ILC (250GeV):


$$\Delta BR(h_{125} \rightarrow \text{invisible}) \lesssim 0.44\%$$

H. Baer et. al., ILC: 1306.6352

NCKU Colloquium,

P.Y. Tseng,

Invisible Higgs decay at ILC (250GeV):



 Current experimental constraints for light mediator

